```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
                            ATLANTA DIVISION
2
     THEMBI DLAMINI
3
                     Plaintiff,
                                              CIVIL ACTION FILE
4
                                              NO. 1:13-CV-2699-WSD
5
     v.
                                              ATLANTA, GEORGIA
     JUNA G. BABB and
6
     MICHAEL J. BABB
7
                     Defendants.
8
9
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.,
10
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
                           Pretrial Conference
                        Wednesday, April 22, 2015
12
13
14
15
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
16
     For the Plaintiff:
                                   KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON
                                    (By: Audra Ann Dial
17
                                          George L. Murphy, Jr.
                                          William D. Meyer)
18
     For the Defendant:
                                   Michael J. Babb, Pro Se
19
20
21
            Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography
22
               and computer-aided transcript produced by
                      NICHOLAS A. MARRONE, RMR, CRR
23
                          1714 U. S. Courthouse
                         75 Spring Street, S.W.
24
                           Atlanta, GA 30303
                             (404) 215-1486
25
```

1	Wednesday Morning Session
2	April 22, 2015
3	9:36 a.m.
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	(In open court:)
8	THE COURT: Good morning. This is the pretrial
9	conference in Dlamini versus Babb, which is Civil Action
10	No. 13-2699.
11	Would counsel announce their appearances, please?
12	MS. DIAL: Good morning, Your Honor. Audra Dial,
13	George Murphy and Bill Meyer on behalf of the plaintiff,
14	Thembi Dlamini.
15	THE COURT: Good morning.
16	And I take it you are Mr. Babb?
17	MR. BABB: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And I understand that you are not
19	represented by counsel. Is that correct?
20	MR. BABB: No.
21	THE COURT: And your wife is Juna Babb; is that
22	right?
23	MR. BABB: Yes. We are divorced at this point.
24	THE COURT: You are divorced?
25	MR. BABB: Yes.

THE COURT: But she is not here. She has been given notice of the pretrial conference and has not participated either previously in the case nor is she here today. So the record should show that.

So the purpose of the pretrial conference is to prepare for the trial that will begin on Monday. In preparing for this today, the first thing I noticed is that there hasn't been any real briefing on the issue of punitive damages.

There is an unreported decision that apparently was finally mentioned at the end of the request to charge that was submitted by the plaintiffs and then there is one reported decision out of the Ninth Circuit.

So if you are going to seek punitive damages,

I would like for that to be briefed and submitted to the

Court by 5:00 tomorrow.

MS. DIAL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There is also a need in this case,

Mr. Babb, because you haven't appeared and you haven't

answered the complaint, you have already been found liable

for violation of the statute, and the question and the issue

next week will be what damages, if any, will be awarded

against you. Are you aware of that?

MR. BABB: Repeat that again, sir.

THE COURT: You did not file an answer in this

```
Do you understand that?
1
     case.
               MR. BABB:
                          Yeah.
2
               THE COURT: So when you got the complaint you
3
     didn't do anything to respond to that?
4
               And you got the complaint, didn't you?
5
               MR. BABB: Yes.
6
7
               THE COURT: All right. And you decided not to
8
     respond to it because you --
               MR. BABB: I needed help in even reading this
9
     stuff, sir.
10
               THE COURT: So because of that, you did not file an
11
     answer to the complaint; correct?
12
               As a result of that, you have been found in
13
     default because a complaint requires an answer, which means
14
     that you have been determined to be liable for a violation
15
     and the civil remedies provided for under 18 U.S.C. Section
16
     1595.
17
               So the trial on Monday is not whether or not you
18
     are responsible or did not engage in the conduct; you have
19
     already been held liable for engaging in the conduct.
20
     question is how much money, if anything, will be awarded to
21
     the plaintiff for which you will be responsible.
22
                                                        So it's
     limited to that issue.
23
               And because of that, at some point I will have to
24
     tell the jury that you have been found liable for your
25
```

conduct and that the issue will only be on damages.

So you will see that I have given to you a copy of the preliminary instructions that I will give to the jury -- that should have been given to you already -- and that there is a section in the preliminary instructions where I summarize the case and I state the limitation of the issues to be tried.

I need everybody to look at that, and if they have any responses or comments on it, those are due by 5:00 tomorrow.

So let's -- well, there is also a summary of the case. When I conduct voir dire, I have to tell them generally what the case is about so that I see whether or not anybody knows anything about the case.

That's been provided to you. If you want to comment on the summary that I have prepared, that's due by 5:00 tomorrow.

Now let's talk about the process.

The panel members will be brought in, and they will be seated according to the order in which they appear on the printout that comes from the jury office. They will be seated in the back of the courtroom on the right-hand side in the spectator area.

The first bench will accommodate eight people. The next benches will accommodate six. Everybody will be seated

on the right-hand side of the gallery as you look in the rear towards it.

Voir dire consists of three sections. The first are the qualifying questions, which I will ask them, which are generally addressed to whether or not anybody is legally disqualified from sitting.

I have given you the qualifying questions. Please review those. If you have any comments on those, those are also due by 5:00 tomorrow.

Following that, we ask each juror to provide background information. Those are in the background questions. Those have been provided to you. This is the standard questions I allow to be asked.

If you want to comment on those or add questions, you may do so, but you need to give me your comments or any additions that you seek by 5:00 tomorrow.

As I say, you have a summary of the case that I intend to use when I ask them if they know anything about it.

And then finally each party is entitled to ask voir dire questions themselves.

Those were required by order to be submitted to me before today. Mr. Babb, you didn't submit any, so you will not be allowed to ask any.

I have reviewed the plaintiff's voir dire, and

actually I'm not going to allow them to ask almost all of their questions because I find that they are objectionable, but I will tell you which ones may be asked.

So let's move to those right now. These are Attachment B-1 to the pretrial order.

There are four initial unnumbered questions, one through four, those are allowed.

Questions five, six, seven, eight and nine violate what I believe are a format of questions in which you basically ask questions based upon your theory of the case.

And so you may not ask five, six, seven, eight or nine.

You may ask ten and eleven, but I want them to be reframed because I think they are in a form that makes it -- you are not asking for a fair and objective response; it looks as if you are directing them to a response.

But the general subject matter is fine, but you should ask them in the following format.

Ten may be asked in this format: Is there any member of the panel who has any view regarding immigrants to the United States that might affect their ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case?

Eleven may be asked in a different way. It's actually technically incorrect. If you have overstayed your visa, you are here without authority, you are not here, quote, illegally, closed quote. In fact, most of the time

you are here illegally, but I understand what you were trying to do.

But you may ask the question in this way: Is there any member of the panel who has any negative view about people who have overstayed their visa that might affect their ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

After voir dire is done, I know in this case I can give them a break. When I give them a break, I will ask them to go out to the vestibule. Then we will do for-cause and peremptory challenges outside their presence.

The for-cause challenges, of course, will begin with the parties telling me which people they think are not allowed to serve as jurors in this case because they are legally disqualified. And after I rule on those, then we will do peremptory challenges.

In this case you are each entitled to three challenges, meaning that we will -- and since I intend to seat eight jurors -- since we have to have at least six, eight will give us a couple of people in the event that something happens -- and because there are six challenges total that you are entitled to, we will then assert peremptory challenges with respect to the lowest fourteen numbered panel members.

Let me tell you a little bit about challenges.

What will happen is after this process of getting information

from the jurors in *voir dire*, which I have just explained to you, there will be more people than we need since we will only have eight people on the jury.

And one of the processes, if there is somebody who you believe is legally disqualified from serving as a juror and if you think they are -- I know you don't know the law on this because you are uncounseled, but if you think they are, you can assert a challenge, and I will tell you whether or not it's one that I would sustain and remove that person.

But after we determine who is legally disqualified -- and generally it's hard to show that somebody is legally disqualified -- then you will have three people according to the process I will explain in a second that you can say I just don't want those, the people you have told me about, to serve as jurors.

And assuming that they are not being -- you are not asking for them to be removed for an improper means -- generally you can't ask somebody to be removed based upon their race or gender or ethnicity or religion, but I will know that probably -- then those people will not serve as jurors and we will end up with eight.

So I will tell you ultimately who the fourteen people are that you can exercise your three challenges against, and then in a second I will tell you the process

for doing that. But there are three people that you can identify that you would not like to sit as jurors, and generally those people then will not serve and we will end up with -- and the remaining people then will serve as the jury in this case.

The process for doing that is using a chart that I use.

Do they have the chart, Harry?

THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What will happen is we will use only the left-hand column of the chart. The plaintiffs will first put a number on the first line of the person that they want to challenge, and then they will write in "Plaintiff" so I will know that the plaintiff challenged -- let's say they challenged juror number four.

Then they will give the chart to you, and right underneath where they entered their information you can put the number of the person that you want to challenge peremptorily -- and you will know who they are because you will have a list of everybody that is on the panel -- and then you will put "Defendant." And then you will give it back to them.

And we will do that three times, and then I will know who has been challenged. After we have done that, we will then know who the jurors are.

I will give you a little bit of a break. And then we will bring the panel members back in. I will tell the jurors who it is that will serve as jurors in the case, we will have them seated over in the jury box, and then we will begin the trial.

So far any questions?

MS. DIAL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to give you, Mr. Babb, because I suspect you don't have this, this is a recently superseded copy of the rules that will apply for you. Even though you don't have a lawyer, you will be held responsible for knowing these rules.

What I have done is I have tabbed the rules in that portion of what we call the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that apply to this case that talk about trials, so I have put "Trials" on that. So you can turn to that page, and you need to study up on those rules.

And then there are Rules of Evidence about what can come in, what questions can be asked, what questions can't be asked of witnesses, what evidence can or cannot be admitted. Those are under the Rules of Evidence, and I have tabbed -- put "Evidence," there is a tab there identifying where those rules are.

And I am going to give you this, which you may keep.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So after the jury is seated, we begin with what we call opening statements. Each side will have fifteen minutes to make an opening. I would be surprised if it takes that long. You will see there are two clocks. They are not lit up. Would you light those up, please, Harry? The plaintiff's clock will be the one on the left, and so it's your responsibility to keep track of that. And if you run over, like we had in the trial last week, I will cut you off. So it's your responsibility. We have those up there so you don't get cut off. But you only have fifteen minutes, so you need to conclude within that time. Mr. Babb, yours is on the right. So when you are talking to the jury, you need to look at that clock and make sure that you don't go beyond fifteen minutes. Arguments, those opening statements, will be made

Arguments, those opening statements, will be made from the podium. You may not move in front of the podium. You have to stay at the podium. You may, of course, look at the jurors, but make sure that the microphone is pointed in your direction. But the statements have to be made from the podium.

After opening statements are completed, we will move right into the evidence. First we start with the

plaintiff. When the plaintiff is done, if you have evidence, then you may present evidence.

Unusually in this case, some of the evidence that can be presented probably is your own testimony, and I will -- what you will have to do is if you decide that that's the evidence that you want to put in, you will have to come up and sit in the witness stand, you will be sworn in under oath, meaning that if you don't tell the truth, you will be subject to perjury. You could be prosecuted for that or held accountable for not telling the truth if you don't tell the truth under oath.

But you need to think what you are going to say, saying it clearly and succinctly. If there is an objection from the plaintiff that you are saying something that they don't believe is admissible and if I sustain the objection, then you can't talk about that anymore. You will have to stop.

When the defendants' case in chief or your case in chief is over, then it's possible that I would allow the plaintiff to put in rebuttal evidence, which is to respond to things that you said. But I can only decide once I see what evidence you present whether or not any rebuttal evidence is allowed.

After that is done, we will have a charge conference, meaning we will be back in here -- probably back

in here -- in which there are certain principles of law that the plaintiffs want me to instruct on.

If you wanted instructions on the law, you were actually required to give those to me before today. But because you are *pro se*, I will give you until 5:00 tomorrow to state the principles of law that you would like me to instruct them on. But they have to be in my possession by 5:00 tomorrow.

We will talk about my view of the law. I have looked at the request to charge already. They suffer somewhat from the same problem that the *voir dire* questions did. I probably will just rewrite those which I think will cover those things which I think are proper to instruct the jury on with respect to damages, including punitive damages if I am convinced that our circuit would allow them.

And of course you can reconsider whether or not you want to request them, because I think it might well be an open issue, in which case there would be an appellate issue in the case.

And if there is an appellate issue on that issue, I might well find some way for the defendant to be represented so that that's properly presented to the circuit. So if that guides your thinking on that at all.

The course of the trial has certain rules that

I enforce. If there is anything that you expect to come up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you think might be a question I will have to decide, I would ask for you to please do that during a break or before or after the trial day so that we don't do that in front of the jury, and so when the jury is here that they are doing their job, which is to hear evidence. The trial days, on Monday everybody has to be here at 9:15. The panel members will probably be brought down about 9:30, and we are going to begin promptly at 9:30. I'm assuming that this will take two days. there anybody who believes it will take longer than that? MS. DIAL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you, Mr. Babb? MR. BABB: No. THE COURT: Okay. And so the second day you need to be here at 9:00. And I suspect the second day we will actually conclude all the evidence and begin the process to submit the case to the jury for their decisions. There are two breaks, a fifteen-minute break midmorning and midafternoon, and a one-hour break for lunch. I keep to those times, and so when we take a fifteen-minute break, it will actually be fifteen minutes, doubtfully longer than that, unless something comes up that

I have given you my general instructions. Those are on the website, but we have also handed those out this

I just can't get back in fifteen minutes.

morning. And as I say, the only thing that specifically will have to be addressed at the charge conference, while you can comment on my general instruction, which I looked at as recently as yesterday and am satisfied that it is still current, that we will focus on at the charge conference just what the damages instructions will be.

And I will prepare and have at the charge conference a jury verdict form, but I haven't decided on that yet. But you will have that and know what I intend to give to the jury for their use in recording their verdict in the case.

We do have technology. It's your responsibility to know how to operate it. If you want to do that, set aside a time with Harry to go over what technology is available, because that will be your responsibility and not ours.

Witnesses, because Mr. Babb doesn't know about sequestration, I'm just going to require all witnesses to be sequestered, meaning that no witness can be in here when any other witness is testifying unless and until they are released, in which case they can after that.

There was a pretrial order that was submitted to me. Apparently the defendants chose not to participate in submitting that and have not independently submitted their part of the pretrial order, so I'm going to enter the

pretrial order as it was submitted to me by the plaintiff reserving on whether or not punitive damages are allowable in our circuit in a case like this.

So that's I think all I need to cover. Is there anything from the parties? Any questions, comments, anything you want to know about next week that we should address now?

MR. MURPHY: I think there is one issue that is a little bit difficult even for lawyers, and I think to be fair to Mr. Babb that maybe there should be some instruction from the Court on the issue of where liability has already been decided, then evidence bearing on the issue of liability is not probative of any issue in the case. And so --

THE COURT: Well, it could be. If you are seeking punitive damages, it seems to me that with respect to whether it was wanton, that you could talk about what went on and how he went about doing that.

MR. MURPHY: Well, he can talk about wanton and he can talk about his financial circumstances, but on the issue of it didn't happen, he didn't do it, didn't know what was involved, those issues have been decided.

THE COURT: Well, I think -- it's all going to depend upon what he says, but I think that by seeking especially punitive damages, that I have got to give him some leeway to explain exactly what happened.

MR. MURPHY: Well, let's just take --1 THE COURT: And I think with respect to whether or 2 not he believed that what he was doing is right or wrong, I 3 mean, he has been found liable, but if he says, Look, I 4 wasn't aware of that, that would go to the issue of punitive 5 damages. Because how could you be wanton if you thought that 6 7 what you were doing was not illegal? MR. MURPHY: Well, he's also pled guilty to a 8 related crime. 9 THE COURT: Well, I understand that. But that's --10 MR. MURPHY: We will take it up on a case-by-case 11 basis. But I just thought that it might be helpful to 12 Mr. Babb to have some understanding about that at the 13 14 outset. THE COURT: I just don't -- one, I don't know what 15 your plaintiff is going to say, and so I don't know what it 16 is that would be a response to that, nor do I know the way 17 the case has been presented. 18 Because as a pro se, I'm also not going to tell him 19 that he can't go into what you just said because I think 20 there are some things that he could well testify that would 21 be admissible. So I don't know how to instruct him. 22 23 MR. MURPHY: Okay. THE COURT: It's a pro se case. This is about the 24 25 fourth pro se case I have had. They are always hard. And I

```
know my responsibility, and you can trust that I will fulfill
1
     it.
2
3
                MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Your Honor.
4
                THE COURT: Anything else?
                Anything else from you, Mr. Babb?
5
                MR. BABB: No, sir.
6
                THE COURT: All right. We will see you Monday.
7
                We will be in recess.
8
                    (Proceedings adjourn at 9:58 a.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA :
5	I, Nicholas A. Marrone, RMR, CRR, Official Court
6	Reporter of the United States District Court for the Northern
7	District of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing 20
8	pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before
9	the said Court, held in the city of Atlanta, Georgia, in the
10	matter therein stated.
11	In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand on
12	this, the 22nd day of April, 2015.
13	
14	
15	
16	/s/ Nicholas A. Marrone
17	NICHOLAS A. MARRONE, RMR, CRR
18	Registered Merit Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
19	Official Court Reporter Northern District of Georgia
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
⊿ິ່ງ	